

8

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/480,836

Applicant(s)

Rohit C. L. Sachdeva

Examiner

Dave Ghatt

Group Art Unit 3307

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Dave Ghatt		(3) David Pritchard
(2) Edgar Burr		(4)
	June 3,, 1997	
		_
Type: $oxed{X}$ Telephonic $oxed{\square}$ Personal (copy is given to $oxed{\square}$ applicant $oxed{\square}$ applicant's representative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: 38, 49, 63, and 77		
Identification of prior art discussed: Impaction Post.		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims listed above were reviewed, and changes were discussed in order to make these claims patentably distinct over the "Impaction Post" reference. Initially, Examiners Ghatt and Burr did not find the language of the listed claims to be patentably distinct from the "Impaction Post" reference. Mr Prichard said that the inclusion of "orthodintic appliance" in the claim language made the claims patentable over the reference. Mr Burr said that the language was broad enough to read on the reference, and suggested that the applicant be more specific and include more positive structure describing the actual orthodontic appliance claimed by the applicant. Mr Prichard said that he would discuss changes with the applicant, and get back in touch after they made their decision.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.		
each of the ol claims are no	ojections, rejections and requirement w allowable, this completed form is Applicant is not relieved from prov	including any attachments) reflects a complete response to that may be present in the last Office action, and since the considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last yiding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.		